Renal Denervation Indication, Evidences, & Future

Duk-Woo Park, MD, PhD

University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Heart Institute, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea







Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest

- Research funds from the CardioVascular Research Foundation (CVRF), Seoul, Korea and a grant of the Korea Health 21 R&D Project, Ministry of Health & Welfare, Korea (A102065).
- No industry sponsorship







Hypertension Epidemiology



- Single largest contributor to death worldwide
- Every 20/10 mmHg increase in BP correlates with a doubling of 10-year cardiovascular mortality
- Dramatically increases risk of stroke, heart attack, heart failure, & kidney failure
- Only half of all treated hypertensive patients are controlled to established BP targets
- High prevalence:
 - Affects 1 in 3 adults
 - 1B people worldwide \rightarrow 1.6 B by 2025
- Resistant HTN : 5-30%

Effects of Increased Sympathetic Activity

Causes of increased afferent signaling from the kidney to central integrative structures

Factors that might contribute to increased renal afferent signaling:

Adenosine Acidosis Oxidative stress Inflammation Endothelial factors Angiotensin II ischemia

Renal denervation

Consequences of increased efferent sympathetic outflow

to the kidney and other

organs

Remodeling Hypertrophy Arrhythmias Ischemia Apoptosis

Medial hyperplasia Arterial compliance ↓ Endothelial dysfunction

Renal injury / Renal ischemia

Na⁺ / H₂O retention Reduced renal blood flow Activation of the RAAS Proteinuria Glomerulosclerosis

CardioVascular Research Foundation

UNIVERSITY OF ULSA

Renal Nerves as a Therapeutic Target







- Arise from ~ T10-L2
- Follow the renal artery to the kidney
- Primarily lie within the adventitia





Generator

- Energy maximum 8 Watt
- It automatically switches off if
 - temperature increases too fast or too slowly
 - temperature is higher than 75 °C
 - Impedance does not decrease sufficiently





Simplicity[™] Catheter

- Radiofrequency electrode tip
- Handle allows bending of the tip and rotation
- Compatible with a 6 F guiding catheter





Procedural details

- Premedication
 - Aspirin 100 mg/day (to be continued for 1 week)
 - 10-20 mg morphin + sedatives
 - 5,000 U heparin
 - Nitro i.a.
- 6 F femoral sheath
- 6 F renal guiding catheter
- Angiography of all renal arteries
- Introduce radiofrequency catheter
- 4-8 ablations, 2 min each



AMC Cases

- 53/ M, 171cm, 78kg
- HTN, DM
- Caduet 5/20mg, cadura XL 1T, dichlozid
 25mg, exforge 5/160mg, tenormin 50mg qd
- Initial BP: 167/88 mmHg
- Cr 0.97
- Procedure time; 80min
- Contrast medium ; Visipaque, 70 cc

Successful Ablation in Left Renal Aretery



CardioVascular Research Foundation



And Then, Right Renal Artery....



Additionally, 8 ablations were done in Right Renal Artery



Follow Up

- No procedure related complication
- Discharge 1 day later
- 1 month follow up : 155/85 mmHg, HR 78
- 3 months : 145/85 mmHg, HR 77
- 6 months : 135/78 mmHb, HR 65





Clinical studies







The Symplicity HTN Clinical Trial Program



Shading on bars indicates clinical trial enrollment periods. Enrollment period for HTN-3 is estimated.

Krum H, et al. *Lancet*. 2009;373:1275-1281.
 Esler et al. *Lancet*. 2010;376:1903-1909.

Symplicity HTN-1 Investigators. *Hypertension*. 2011;57:911-917.
 Data on file, Medtronic.



Symplicity HTN-1



Lancet. 2009;373:1275-1281



Catheter-based Renal Sympathetic Denervation for Resistant Hypertension Durability of Blood Pressure Reduction Out to 24 Months

Symplicity HTN-1 Investigators*

Hypertension. 2011;57:911-917.

Initial Cohort - Reported in the Lancet, 2009:

- First-in-man, non-randomized study conducted in Europe and Australia
- Cohort of 45 patients with resistant HTN (SBP \geq 160 mmHg on \geq 3 anti-HTN drugs, including a diuretic; eGFR \geq 45 mL/min)
- All patients received bilateral renal denervation with the Symplicity Renal Denervation System
- Primary endpoint: change in office BP; 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months post-procedure

Expanded Cohort – Symplicity HTN-1:

- Expanded cohort of patients (n=153) from 19 sites (US, Europe, and Australia)
- 24 and 36-month follow-up of safety and effectiveness



SYMPLICITY HTN-1: Significant, Sustained BP Reduction to 3 Years



Expanded results presented at the European Society of Cardiology Annual Meeting, 2013.



Symplicity HTN-2



- Purpose: To demonstrate the effectiveness of catheter-based renal denervation (RDN) for reducing blood pressure in patients with uncontrolled hypertension in a prospective, randomized, controlled, clinical trial
- Patients: 106 patients with drug-resistant hypertension randomized 1:1 to treatment with RDN vs. control
- Clinical Sites: 24 centers in Europe, Australia, & New Zealand
 - 67% were designated hypertension centers of excellence
- Primary Endpoint: Office systolic BP change from baseline at 6 months

Symplicity HTN-2 Investigators. Lancet. 2010;376:1903-9.

Patient Disposition



Primary Endpoint: 6-Month Office BP





Symplicity HTN-2 Investigators. Lancet. 2010;376:1903-9.

SYMPLICITY HTN-2: BP Reductions Sustained to 3 Years

Sustained Reductions in the Pooled (RDN and Crossover) Group*



Whitbourn, TCT 2013



Medtronic RDN SYMPLICITY HTN-3







Trial Objectives

- SYMPLICITY HTN-3 is the first prospective, multicenter, randomized, blinded, sham controlled study to evaluate both the safety and efficacy of percutaneous renal artery denervation in patients with severe treatment-resistant hypertension.
- The trial included 535 patients enrolled by 88 participating US centers.







Key Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Key Inclusion:

- Stable medication regimen including full tolerated doses of 3+ anti hypertensive medications of different classes, including a diuretic
- Office SBP ≥160 mm Hg based on an average of 3 blood pressure readings measured at both an initial and a confirmatory screening visit

Key Exclusion:

- ABPM 24 hour average SBP <135 mm Hg
- eGFR of <45 mL/min/1.73 m²
- Main renal arteries <4 mm diameter or <20 mm treatable length







SYMPLICITY HTN-3: Severe Drug-Resistant HTN Office SBP ≥160 mm Hg

- 2:1 randomization, blinded and controlled
- Sham procedure in control patients that included renal angiogram
- 535 subjects randomized out of 1441 enrolled (63% screen failure rate)
- 2-week screening process, including maximum tolerated doses of antihypertensives



• No changes in medications for 6 M



Key Safety Endpoint

Safety analysis

 Composite endpoint of death, renal injury, vascular complications, and embolic tissue injury to 1 month and renal artery stenosis to 6 months. <7% MAE rate required to meet the primary safety endpoint.

Primary safety analysis

 A performance goal established from renal artery stenting required the major adverse event rate for safety be <9.8%. This requires the observed MAE rate to be <7%, given the expected confidence interval for this endpoint.





Key Efficacy Endpoints

Efficacy analysis

- Comparison of SBP change from baseline to 6 mo in RDN arm compared with change from baseline to 6 mo in control arm
 - Endpoint = (SBP_{RDN 6 mo} SBP_{RDN baseline}) (SBP_{CTL: 6 mo} SBP_{CTL baseline})

Primary efficacy (OBP) endpoint assumptions

- Superiority analysis
 - Superiority margin of 5 mm Hg, per FDA recommendation
- Assuming a standard deviation of 25 mm Hg for both arms, 10 mm Hg is the minimum treatment difference required to meet the efficacy endpoint (95% CI)

Secondary efficacy (ABPM) endpoint assumptions

- Superiority analysis
 - Superiority margin of 2 mm Hg, per FDA recommendation
- Assuming a standard deviation of 18 for both arms, 5.5 mm Hg is the minimum difference required to meet the efficacy endpoint (95% CI)



Patient Disposition



prior to using the Symplicity renal denervation system. Investigational use only in the USA © 2014 Medtronic, Inc. All rights reserved.UC2014006129IE 3/14

Results: Population Demographics

Characteristic (Mean ± SD or %)	Renal Denervation (N = 364)	Sham Procedure (N = 171)	Р
Age (years)	57.9 ± 10.4	56.2 ± 11.2	0.09
Male sex (%)	59.1	64.3	0.26
Office systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	180 ± 16	180 ± 17	0.77
24-h mean systolic ABPM (mm Hg)	159 ± 13	160 ± 15	0.83
BMI (kg/m ²)	34.2 ± 6.5	33.9 ± 6.4	0.56
Race* (%)			0.57
African American	24.8	29.2	
White	73.0	69.6	
Medical history (%)			
Renal insufficiency (eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73 m ²)	9.3	9.9	0.88
Renal artery stenosis	1.4	2.3	0.48
Obstructive sleep apnea	25.8	31.6	0.18
Stroke	8.0	11.1	0.26
Type 2 diabetes	47.0	40.9	0.19
Hospitalization for hypertensive crisis	22.8	22.2	0.91
Hyperlipidemia	69.2	64.9	0.32
Current smoking	9.9	12.3	0.45

*Race also includes Asian, Native American, or other



Results: Baseline Hypertensive Therapy

Characteristic mean ± SD or %	Renal Denervation (N = 364)	Sham Procedure (N = 171)
No. of antihypertensive medications	5.1 ± 1.4	5.2 ± 1.4
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (%) At maximum tolerated dose	49.2 45.9	41.5 37.4
Angiotensin receptor blocker (%) At maximum tolerated dose	50.0 49.5	53.2 51.5
Aldosterone antagonist (%)	22.5	28.7
Alpha-adrenergic blocker (%)	11.0	13.5
Beta blocker (%)	85.2	86.0
Calcium channel blocker (%) At maximum tolerated dose	69.8 57.1	73.1 63.7
Centrally acting sympatholytic (%)	49.2	43.9
Diuretics (%) At maximum tolerated dose	99.7 96.4	100 97.7
Direct renin inhibitor	7.1	7.0
Direct-acting vasodilator	36.8	45.0



HTN-3 Results: Primary Safety Endpoint



Safety Measures	Renal Denervation (N = 364)	Sham Procedure (N = 171)	Difference (95% CI)	Р
MAE	1.4% (5/361)	0.6% (1/171)	0.8% (-0.9%, 2.5%)	0.67



Primary Efficacy Endpoint

Office Systolic Blood Pressure at 6 Months, 5 mm Superiority Margin



-2.39 (-6.89, 2.12), P = 0.255 (Primary analysis with 5 mm Hg superiority margin)

• Did not meet primary efficacy endpoint



Secondary Efficacy Endpoint

Ambulatory Systolic Blood Pressure at 6 Months, 2 mm Superiority Margin

■ RDN ■ Control



-1.96 (-4.97, 1.06), *P* = 0.979 (ITT analysis with 2 mm Hg superiority margin)

• Did not meet secondary efficacy endpoint



Global Symplicity Registry (GSR)



* Limited to resistant hypertension only



GSR Patient Disposition



GSR Baseline Patient Characteristics

	All Patients (N = 1000)	SBP≥160 mm Hg and Ambulatory SB P≥135* mm Hg (N = 327)
Gender (% male)	61.2%	63.9%
Age (years)	60.7 ± 12.0	61.0 ± 10.9
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.5 ± 5.5	30.9 ± 5.5
Current smoking	10.0%	11.0%
History of cardiac disease	50.5%	52.9%
Renal impairment (eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m ²)	23.4%	27.9%
Sleep apnea (AHI≥5)	4.2%	5.9%
Diabetes, type 1	3.2%	2.5%
Diabetes, type 2	38.5%	42.6%
1 comorbidity	39.7%	36.7%
2 comorbidities	35.5%	34.6%
3+ comorbidities	24.6%	28.4%

* With ≥3 antihypertensive medication classes

GSR Antihypertensive Medication Use

	All Patients (N = 1000)	SBP≥160 mm Hg and Ambulatory SBP≥135 mm Hg* (N = 327)
Antihypertensive medication class	4.5 ± 1.3	4.7 ± 1.2
Beta-blockers	78.9%	81.0%
ACE inhibitors	33.8%	38.5%
Angiotensin receptor blockers	67.3%	67.9%
Calcium channel blockers	76.3%	78.9%
Diuretics	78.2%	79.8%
Aldosterone antagonists	21.1%	19.3%
Spironolactone	18.6%	15.9%
Alpha-adrenergic blockers	35.2%	40.1%
Direct-acting vasodilators	15.1%	19.0%
Centrally acting sympatholytics	33.2%	37.6%
Direct renin inhibitor	7.4%	7.7%

With ≥ 3 antihypertensive medication classes.

Safety in HTN-3 and GSR

	HTN-3 RDN Arm (N = 364)	GSR All Patients (N = 1000)	GSR Office SBP ≥160 mm Hg and ABPM ≥ 135* mm Hg (N=327)
MAE	1.4%	0.8%	1.3%
At 6 months	-	-	
Death	0.6%	0.4%	0.3%
New-onset end-stage renal disease	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%
Significant embolic event resulting in end-organ damage	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Renal artery re-intervention	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Vascular complication	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%
Hypertensive crisis/emergency	2.6%	1.0%	1.7%
New renal artery stenosis >70%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%

With \geq 3 antihypertensive medication classes.

Change in Office SBP at 6 Months for GSR and SYMPLICITY HTN-3 Patients

*With≥3 antihypertensive medication classes.

†With ≥3 antihypertensive medications at maximum tolerated dose.

Change in Ambulatory SBP for GSR and SYMPLICITY HTN-3 Patients

*With ≥3 antihypertensive medication classes. †With ≥3 antihypertensive medications at maximum tolerated dose.

GSR Conclusions

- Excellent procedural and clinical safety profile in the largest data set of real-world RDN patients to date.
- Significant reductions in both office and ambulatory BP from baseline.
 - Differences from SYMPLICITY HTN-3 include randomization, blinding, sham control, BP inclusion criteria, antihypertensivedrug treatment intensity, and an African American cohort in HTN-3.
 - Despite the limitations of comparing a registry with a randomized, blinded, controlled study, the reduction in blood pressure is numerically larger in the GSR at 6 months after treatment.
 - Due to the nature of registry studies, it is difficult to account for the magnitude of a possible placebo effect in GSR.

Beyond the Hypertension

Future Directions for Research

- Chronic activation of renal nerves is common in multiple conditions/disease states^{1,2}
- Future research may be warranted in disease states characterized by hyperactive afferent and efferent renal nerves

New Devices

Medtronic's Multi-Electrode

- RF, multi-electrode, simultaneous firing
- 60 second ablation time per artery
- FIM completed, PI: Robert Whitbourn, M.D

ovestigational device, limited by Federal (or United S

N=9 patients

Covidien OneShot™ Renal Denervation System

- Balloon with spiral electrode
 - 20 mm long
 - 5, 6 and 7mm diameter
 - Low pressure (<1atm)
 - 0.014" guidewire
 - 8F guide compatible
- Cooling by irrigation holes placed alongside spiral electrodes
 - Protects non-treated region of artery
 - Enhances control and consistency of the treatment effect
 - Prevents sticking of electrode to tissue
- 2 min. ablation per artery

Vessix Vascular V2 Renal Denervation System

- Balloon catheter with Bipolar RF electrodes
- Low pressure (<3 atm)
- 68°C
- Simultaneous energy delivery to all electrodes
- Treatment time 30 seconds
- 1 watt max
- 3-7 mm renal arteries

Key Takeaways

- SYMPLICITY HTN-3 did not reach the primary or powered secondary efficacy endpoints in this trial. There may be many factors that contributed to the outcome, which we continue to investigate.
- SYMPLICITY HTN-3 did meet its safety endpoint, which is consistent with all other Symplicity trials, including the Global SYMPLICITY Registry.
- Based upon detailed analysis of HTN-3, further clinical investigation is warranted and Medtronic will, in consultation with FDA, pursue a new IDE trial.
- An unmet need in this uncontrolled hypertension population still exists. Medtronic will continue to provide access to the Symplicity system in countries where it has regulatory approval and will continue to support a global hypertension clinical program.

